

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,499	06/14/2001	John W. Mason	56576.000 7773		
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER		
DeWitt Ross & Stevens SC			NI, SUHAN		
Suite 401	Deiro	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
8000 Excelsior Drive Madison, WI 53717			<u> </u>	1ATER NOMBER	
Madison, W1	33/1/		2643 /2 DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	tion No.	Amplicant(a)			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/881,	499	MASON ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Suhan 1		2643			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	cation appears on ti	he cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILII  - Extensions of after SIX (6) II  - If the period fi  - If NO period fi  - Failure to rep  - Any reply reco	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FO NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or reply is specified above, the maximum statuty by within the set or extended period for reply we sived by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no encipole  nication.  days, a reply within the studory period will apply and  fill, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror pplication to become ABANDON	imely filed  bys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>11 November</u>	<u>2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This a	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11,15-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Claim	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-11, 15-18, 20-25</u> is/are rejected.						
, —							
8)∐ Claim	n(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election	requirement.				
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The s <sub>l</sub>	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) <u></u> The di	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applic	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) All 1. 3. 3. 3. 4 See the 13) Acknow since a 37 CFR a) T T 14) Acknow reference	owledgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of a attached detailed Office action of wledgment is made of a claim for specific reference was included at 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docun al Bureau (PCT Refor a list of the cer domestic priority in the first sentence guage provisional ar domestic priority	een received. een received in Application received in Application 17.2(a)). rtified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 receive of the specification of application has been received.	tion No ved in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	( O''. I (DTC 222)		4) 🗆 Lata : - 2	(DTO 440) December (2)			
2) Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment dated 11/11/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it recites the limitation of "the entirety" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

  (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8 and 15-18, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hodsdon et al. (U. S. Pat. -3,989,909).

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Hodsdon et al. disclose a speaker enclosure system, comprising: a backbox (Fig. 1) having an interior bounded by a peripheral edge; a grill (14)

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

having a crimping edge being crimped about the peripheral edge; a speaker (31) affixed to an interior surface of the grille; and a sound baffle sheet (25) extending across the interior surface of the grille between the peripheral edge and the speaker, wherein the grille rests upon and extending across the peripheral edge whereby the entirety of the backbox rests to one side of the interior surface of the grille as claimed.

Regarding claims 2-3, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the grill is releasable attached to the backbox (Fig. 6) as claimed.

Regarding claims 16-18 and 22, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the baffle sheets have a speaker opening (10) as claimed.

Regarding claim 20, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the backbox has an electrical supply (Fig. 1) as claimed.

Regarding claim 21, Hodsdon et al. further disclose the speaker enclosure system, wherein the crimping edge is also crimped about the sound baffle as claimed (Fig. 5).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 9-11 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodsdon et al. (U. S. Pat. -3,989,909).

Application/Control Number: 09/881,499

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 4 and 9, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the backbox is molded as claimed. Since provided a molded plastic or form enclosure for a speaker system is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a molded backbox for the speaker enclosure system as an alternate choice, in order to simplify the manufacturing processing and also make the system more durable.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 10, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the molded backbox is reinforced by fiberglass as claimed. Since providing reinforcement material for a molded plastic housing is very well know in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any desirable reinforcement material, such as a fiberglass mesh for the enclosure or backbox as an alternate choice, in order to make the enclosure more durable, especially under some hazardous environment, such as under high temperature environment.

Regarding claims 11 and 23-25, Hodsdon et al. do not clearly teach that the molded backbox comprises material and detailed configuration as claimed. Since providing a thermal resistant material for a desirable enclosure or housing is very well know in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any suitable thermal resistant material, such as metal or some thermal resistant plastic for the enclosure or backbox as an alternate choice, in order to make the system fully functioning and more durable under high temperature environment.

Art Unit: 2643

### Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Suhan Ni Patent Examiner Art Unit 2643 USPTO

SUHAN NI PATENT EXAMINER

January 19, 2004